UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,891	01/07/2004	Kuldeep Jain	042933/271711	4567
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 05/01/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
	ERICA PLAZA	HARPER, LEON JONATHAN		
	RYON STREET, SUIT NC 28280-4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		05/01/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/752,891	JAIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leon J. Harper	2166		

	Leon J. Harper	2166	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They have the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Col	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphant / monament (	1 102 02+).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See continuation sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		,	
		1	

The new issue(s) is/are "connection to the web server application" recited in claim 14.

Continuation of #11: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues: as is well known in the art a "webserver application comprises software that is configures to accept http request from clients (e.g., devices executing web browsers) and serve the clients http responses along with optional data contents, such as web pages or other html documents and linked objects. Although salo may disclose a server that provides for communication between a remote device and a mobile terminal, the server in Salo is not the mobile terminal as is the case in the claimed invention. Similarly, the Shi patent describes a system in which a wireless computing device, such as a PDA, is used to access a database on a server hosted by some other network device. In particular the shi patent is directed to synchronizing data on a wireless device with a database in a network server. For example, the wireless device sends a synchronization request to the server. The server receives the request, validates the request, updates the database on the server and sends a synchronization response to the wireless device. Thus the shi patent also does not describe a mobile terminal having a web server application that permits a remote network device to access the mobile terminal, as generally required by the claims of the present application.

Examiner responds: Examiner is entitled to give claim limitations their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. Interpretation of Claims-Broadest Reasonable Interpretation: During patent examination, the pending claims must be 'given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification.' Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 162 USPQ 541,550-51 (CCPA 1969). In this case Shi discloses bi-directional communication between a mobile remote device and a local device (See column 7 lines 62-65). Shi also discloses that it makes no difference which one of the storage devices is called local vs remote, as the different titles are merely to highlight the fact that the storage areas are different. (See column 9 lines 10-23). In order to show that the mobile device in shi discloses a web server application that provides for a remote access device to access the mobile terminal via a wireless communication link, the examiner only needs to show that there is (a) a web server application, (b) said application provides for a remote network device to access the mobile terminal and (c) access is done via a wireless communication link. Shi discloses all three of these requirements, for example Shi discloses a) a web server application (See column 12 lines 3-6 stating that a user interface application is used by either the mobile device or a central device), (b) said application provides for a remote network device to access the mobile terminal (See further column 12 lines 5-11 stating that the user interface application is used to initiate synchronization) and (c) access is done via a wireless communication link (See column 5 lines 7-10)

/Hosain T Alam/ SPE, AU2166